

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,681	07/30/2003	Shigeru Tanaka	62758-049	6564
7590 12/21/2004 McDermott, Will & Emery		EXAMINER		
600 13th Street, N.W.			GROUP, KARL E	
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	
			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/629,681	TANAKA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karl E. Group	1755
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and a lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AS	ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matter	ers prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11. 453 O.G. 213
Disposition of Claims		,
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		ov the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1 85(a)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to See 37 CER 1 121(4)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
a)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal   All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Ap	plication No
— 1 state solution of the pr	iority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	si oi ine ceninea copies not re	eceived.
Attachment(s)	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ. [·]	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-30-03.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,681

Art Unit: 1755

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 1- 20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 1,8,14,19 and 21 the terminology "sintering under pressure of a powder" is confusing. Also "the sintering body" should be "the sintered body".

Claims 3 and 16, it appears "200°C" should be "2000°C".

Claims 14 and 19, the terminology "subjected to mirror polishing and the metallic film" is confusing.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1,8,14,19,and 20, the term "the elements" lacks antecedent basis, Claims 14 and 19, "the substrate comprising and aluminum nitride" lacks antecedent basis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,681

Art Unit: 1755

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Shinosawa et al (6,689,498).

Shinazawa et al teach an aluminum nitride sintered body which may be used for a substrate for a semiconductor or laser diode (column 1, lines 13-23) which includes a sintered aid of a rare earth element, with erbium and ytterbium both being exemplified, column 4, lines 43-60 and examples E5 and E6. The sintered body has a surface roughness of less than .5 microns with .05 microns with a mirror finish, see column 8, lines 9-19. The thermal conductivity is greater than 160 W/mK with greater than 200 W/mK exemplified in Table 3. It is also taught that the thermal conductivity may be controlled by grain size of the AIN. The claims are considered anticipated or in the alternative the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have selected the overlapping portion of the range disclosed by the prior art or select certain elements from the groups taught as being operational because overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obvious, see In re Malagari, 182 U.S.P.Q 549.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,681

Art Unit: 1755

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl E. Group whose telephone number is 571-272-1368. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl E Group Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

Keg 12-16-04